Apples to Apples?

Consideration when Substituting Polymer and Tantalum Capacitors for MLCCs

Customers are experiencing MLCC shortages in today's marketplace, especially for larger case sizes and higher capacitance devices. As a result they are evaluating polymer tantalum capacitors as an alternative and realizing that they are a good potential solution in areas like filtering and applications for voltage stabilization and buffering. In this article we will examine a few tips to help streamline the evaluation and testing process necessary for a successful substitution.

These two capacitor families are some of the most commonly used surface-mount devices and are ideal for many applications. To insure a successful substitution, we need to look at a few performance differences that result from the use of different materials and construction and then consider some of the parametric differences to see if they are compatible with circuit performance goals.

**Capacitance**

The most likely MLCC candidates for replacement utilize a “class II” dielectric material. This class II ceramic (typically X7R or X5R) has a capacitance value that will vary over the temperature range. This characteristic is called the temperature coefficient of capacitance (TCC – see figure 1).
For a typical X5R device this is ± 15 % from –55 °C to +85 °C. The class II dielectrics also have a voltage coefficient of capacitance (VCC – see figure 2.). As the voltage applied to the MLCC approaches the rated voltage, capacitance will drop significantly. These TCC and VCC characteristics are additive. So for a class II device operating at +85 °C and near rated voltage, the capacitance could be as little as 30 % of the specified datasheet value.

By comparison, polymer tantalum capacitors do not have a significant VCC effect, and therefore the capacitance value under applied voltage conditions remains quite stable. In addition, the capacitance for these devices actually increases slightly as temperature increases (see figure 3.).
Overall then, for surface-mount applications that require higher capacitance values like bulk energy storage or power filtering, polymer tantalum capacitors provide superior capacitance performance over MLCCs with similar ratings. In fact, if capacitance is the driving factor in the application, you may be able to replace multiple MLCCs with a single polymer tantalum.

**Rated Voltage, Derating and Polarity**

It is generally considered “safe” to run MLCCs up to full rated voltage, though many designers will derate by about 20% to provide for the VCC effect that yields lower effective capacitance values in the circuit.

However, it is mandatory for designers to derate polymer tantalum devices by 20% for 10 V ratings and below and by 10% for products above 10 V. By comparison traditional tantalum (MnO2) parts must be derated by 50%, but can provide a superior cost solution if they meet the technical requirements.

While polarity is non-factor for MLCCs, it must be maintained for polymer and tantalum devices. This precludes it from some switching applications where reverse voltage spikes can occur.

**Equivalent Series Resistance (ESR)**

ESR is the real part of the impedance (Z) and incorporates all of the resistive losses in the capacitor. When a signal is passed through a capacitor, energy is lost due to heating effects of the ESR.

MLCCs have a lower ESR than polymer tantalums, where the voltage and capacitance are similar. Lower ESR devices are more efficient at decoupling noise to ground, can handle higher RMS ripple current, and are more effective at delivering momentary high currents. Secondarily, low ESR parts efficiently supply pulse current demand that avoids voltage drops during discharge and enable lower input voltage parameters, but very low ESR can sometimes lead to instability in feedback loop circuits.
**Equivalent Series Inductance (ESL)**
The physical dimensions of capacitors primarily determine the ESL. Long side termination designs for MLCCs have been used to decrease inductance in high speed applications. But overall, for similarly sized devices of “normal” construction, there is unlikely to be a major difference in performance due to the inductive component.

High speed circuits are an exception where inductive loads may delay the delivery of the required current from the capacitor and therefore impact circuit performance. The effects on impedance (Z) vary with case size and can been in figure 4.
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**DC Leakage Current (DCL)**
This value is specified differently depending on capacitor type. Rather than get into the weeds, it is reasonable to summarize by saying that MLCCs have a lower leakage current and outperform polymer tantalums by a factor of around five.

**Scorecard and Other Relevant Information**
MLCCs offer superior ESR and DCL results and are also non-polarized. So if polymer tantalums are selected, polarity must be maintained on the PCB. Mechanically, MLCCs are more susceptible to cracking when
using larger case sizes on boards during the pick and place and assembly process.

High capacitance MLCC have a tendency to experience interference with higher frequencies and “sing” / “whistle” with audible noise and the piezo-effect making them a poor choice in some DC/DC conversion and audio applications.

Polymer tantalum capacitors provide high and stable capacitance values, which remain virtually unaffected when voltage is applied. But they have higher ESR and DCL than class II MLCCs. Their materials and construction make them less susceptible to mechanical damage through board flexure and high temperature reflow methods.

**Substituting devices**
When making a change, designers should consider:
- Capacitance (TCC and VCC)
- Case size (especially profile height)
- Voltage rating and derating
- Polarization (for polymer and tantalum)
- Dynamic parameters
  - DCL
  - ESR
  - ESL

To help you get started, below is a quick reference table for that can be used as a starting point for replacing MLCCs with polymer and tantalum MnO₂ devices.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case size</th>
<th>Capacitance</th>
<th>Voltage</th>
<th>Vishay Polymer Tantalum</th>
<th>Vishay MnO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1608 / 0603</td>
<td>0.68 µF to 22 µF</td>
<td>2.5 V to 50 V</td>
<td>T55 standard range <a href="https://www.vishay.com/docs/40176/tmcj.pdf">www.vishay.com/doc?40174</a></td>
<td>TMCJ <a href="https://www.vishay.com/docs/40176/tmcj.pdf">https://www.vishay.com/docs/40176/tmcj.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 / 0805</td>
<td>0.1 µF to 47 µF</td>
<td>2.5 V to 50 V</td>
<td>T58 extended range <a href="https://www.vishay.com/docs/40179/tmcp.pdf">www.vishay.com/doc?40189</a></td>
<td>TMCP <a href="https://www.vishay.com/docs/40179/tmcp.pdf">https://www.vishay.com/docs/40179/tmcp.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3216 / 1206</td>
<td>0.1 µF to 220 µF</td>
<td>4 V to 75 V</td>
<td></td>
<td>TMCS <a href="https://www.vishay.com/docs/40177/tmcs.pdf">https://www.vishay.com/docs/40177/tmcs.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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